Class I and Class III Antiarrhythmic Agents: Mechanisms of Action and the Problem of Proarrhythmic Activity

Vidal Essebag*

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada H3G 1Y6

INTRODUCTION

Sudden cardiac death is a leading cause of mortality in industrialized nations, accounting for 50% of all cardiovascular deaths (1). Despite a decline in the past 20 years, sudden cardiac death accounts for 300,000 fatalities per year in the United States. Approximately 60% of these deaths occur in the absence of previously diagnosed heart disease (1). Nevertheless, in most instances of sudden cardiac death, the underlying pathophysiology of atherosclerotic cardiac disease with the superimposition of transient ischemic events makes the heart more susceptible to the onset and maintenance of lethal arrhythmias (1). Approximately 50% of post-myocardial infarction (MI) fatalities are sudden cardiac deaths resulting mostly from ventricular tachycardia (VT) or ventricular fibrillation (VF). It is believed that these arrhythmias arise from mechanical dysfunction and ischemic events interacting within a disordered electrophysiologic milieu (2). This has prompted the active search for safe and effective treatment modalities and their ultimate evaluation in clinical trials. Currently, beta blockers (class II agents) are recommended for post-MI patients with frequent premature ventricular contractions (PVCs), whereas calcium antagonists (class IV agents) are not helpful, and class I agents are actually harmful (2). The benefit of class III drugs, particularly amiodarone, is presently being evaluated in large clinical trials. Therapeutic options for patients with sustained VT or VF occurring late after MI include pharmacologic antiarrhythmic therapy, transcatheter or surgical ablative therapy (for VT), and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. Clinical trials have yet to determine which approach is most effective and under which circumstances (2). Treatment modalities for patients with nonsustained VT post-MI are also being evaluated in clinical trials. This review specifically discusses the mechanisms of action of class I and class III antiarrhythmic agents as well as their potential untoward proarrhythmic effects.

CLASS I ANTIARRHYTHMIC AGENTS

The class I antiarrhythmic agents decrease automaticity in fast channel tissue by virtue of their Na+ channel blocking property (which decreases the slope of phase 4 depolarization). The Class I drugs are further subdivided into three subclasses based on different effects on action potential duration (APD) and maximum velocity (Vmax); these effects result from varying potencies of Na+ and K+ channel block. (3). The class IA drugs, which include quinidine, disopyramide, and procainamide, reduce Na+ channel availability by binding to the Na+ channel in the open state (4), and reduce Vmax in both normal and diseased tissues. The class IA drugs can also increase APD (5). This effect may be related to their potent K+ channel block during the plateau (phase 2) (6), which may be more significant than the Na+ channel block, and therefore result in a
prolongation of the plateau phase. The class IB drugs, which include lidocaine, tocainide, and mexiletine, are "pure" Na\(^+\) channel blockers which do not block K\(^+\) channels (2), and tend to decrease APD in the His-Purkinje system and in ventricular muscle. This decrease in APD is more significant in portions of the His-Purkinje system where the APD is normally longer (5). The class IB drugs, however, bind to the Na\(^+\) channel largely in the inactivated (i.e., depolarized) state (4), and as a result, Vmax is selectively decreased in automatic ventricular tissue or in diseased tissues where the equilibrium potential is less negative (e.g., after myocardial infarction). The class IC drugs, which include flecainide, encainide, and propafenone, block Na\(^+\) channels in the open state (7) and also block K\(^+\) channels (8), like the IA drugs. The difference is that the IC drugs block Na\(^+\) channels more potently than the IA drugs such that IC drugs are more potent at reducing Vmax and in suppressing premature ventricular contractions. In addition, the class IC drugs cause a smaller increase in APD in ventricular muscle than do the IA, and actually decrease APD in the His-Purkinje system (5).

The use of class I drugs in antiarrhythmic therapy stems from their ability to decrease ventricular automaticity and thereby reduce ectopic beats which may trigger reentrant tachycardias. The effect of class I drugs in slowing conduction velocity in fast channel tissue has also been the basis for their use in the control of the rate of existing reentrant tachycardias. Despite these therapeutic effects, it is now known that the class I drugs also possess adverse effects which may outweigh the benefits of their antiarrhythmic effects. This point was clearly demonstrated in 1989 by findings of the Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial (CAST) (9) which revolutionized the use of antiarrhythmic drugs. CAST was designed to test the hypothesis that drugs with the ability to suppress ventricular premature depolarizations could decrease mortality in patients with asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic ventricular arrhythmias after myocardial infarction. However, the trial was discontinued when it was determined that the use of encaïnide or flecainide (two class IC drugs) actually increased the incidence of deaths from arrhythmias and non-fatal cardiac arrests (relative risk 3.6 with 95 percent confidence interval, 1.7 to 8.5) and also increased total mortality (relative risk 2.5 with 95 percent confidence interval, 1.6 to 4.5) when compared to patients on placebo (9). Therefore, it would appear that even though these drugs may be effective in suppressing an existing ventricular arrhythmia, they should not be used prophylactically in post-MI patients. The explanation for this increased mortality is that by potently slowing conduction, class IC drugs (and, to a lesser extent, the IA drugs) actually facilitate reentry and therefore increase the incidence of reentry tachycardias (10). Moreover, it appears that the magnitude of this proarrhythmic effect is directly related to the drugs' potency at slowing conduction (11).

**CLASS III ANTIARRHYTHMIC AGENTS**

As a result of the proarrhythmic effects of drugs which slow conduction velocity in ventricular tissue by blocking open Na\(^+\) channels (i.e., Class IC and IA), a new emphasis has been made on searching for drugs which increase APD without affecting conduction by selectively blocking K\(^+\) (and not Na\(^+\)) channels in fast channel tissue. Drugs with such properties are classified as class III antiarrhythmic agents, and are all particularly effective in stopping the reentrant tachycardias in fast channel tissue. Reentry is stopped when the refractory period of myocardial fibers in the reentrant circuit is prolonged to such an extent that the propagating reentrant impulse no longer finds excitable myocardium but is blocked in refractory tissue (12). Hence, unlike the Class IC and IA drugs, the class III drugs do not increase the likelihood of developing reentrant tachyarrhythmias, but, on the contrary, make reentry more difficult. Nevertheless, we are now finding out that the class III drugs can also be proarrhythmic in their own way; by prolonging APD they can lead to a long QT syndrome where early afterdepolarizations (EADs) can trigger ventricular arrhythmias known as torsades de pointes (49). The earlier class III drugs (like sotalol and amiodarone) have properties in addition to increasing APD by blocking K\(^+\) channels, while the newer class III agents (like sematilide WAY-123,398, dofetilide, E-4031, ibutilide, and RP 58866) are more specific for prolonging refractoriness by selective K\(^+\) channel blocking activity. Furthermore, even among the new class III agents, differences may
exist in their selectivity for the different $K^+$ channel types.

**Early Class III Agents**

*Sotalol*

Sotalol is a class III antiarrhythmic drug which, in addition to increasing APD by blocking $K^+$ channels, also competitively antagonizes beta adrenergic receptors. This antiadrenergic activity can depress slow channel tissue by decreasing cAMP-dependent calcium entry. In doing so, these agents may prevent atrial premature beats which can act as trigger to initiate atrial fibrillation. Sotalol is also effective at restoring sinus rhythm in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation (13), an effect largely due to its class III property of increasing atrial effective refractory period (14). Sotalol's adverse cardiovascular effects, which include atrioventricular block, bradycardia, hypotension, and exacerbation of heart failure, are mostly due to beta blockade (15). However, sotalol is a racemic mixture of d- and l- isomers, and while l-sotalol has beta-blocking effects comparable to d,l-sotalol, d-sotalol is practically devoid of beta blocking properties but remains a potent class III agent (16). Therefore, d-sotalol shares the APD prolonging properties of the racemic mixture without causing hypotension and bradycardia (17). D-sotalol has also been shown to decrease atrioventricular (AV) node automaticity by prolonging AV node APD, and has proven effective in abolishing AV nodal reentrant tachycardias by lengthening refractoriness in AV nodal cells (18). Sotalol has also been shown to significantly decrease the recurrence of arrhythmias, sudden death, and total cardiovascular mortality, when compared to various class I agents in the Electrophysiologic Versus Electrocardiographic Mortality (ESVEM) study (19). Sotalol's relative effectiveness is presumably related to its ability to prevent ventricular arrhythmias by increasing APD without the proarrhythmic effects of slowing conduction. However, since ESVEM did not use an independent control analogous to placebo, sotalol's effects cannot be interpreted in absolute terms. Nevertheless, as Fitton and Sorkin concluded in a review on sotalol published in 1993, it was believed that sotalol was likely to prove particularly appropriate in the treatment and prophylaxis of life-threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmias (20). More recently, the role of d-sotalol in managing cardiac arrhythmias has been addressed in controlled clinical trials. However, the Survival With Oral D-sotalol (SWORD) double-blind placebo-controlled trial in survivors of MI with depressed ventricular function was recently prematurely terminated because of a strikingly greater all-cause mortality compared with placebo (4.6 vs. 2.6%) (21,22). D-sotalol has also been shown to produce EADs and torsades de pointes in the rabbit heart (23,24). These findings raise concerns regarding the current popular concept of using "pure" class III agents to control arrhythmias; these drugs' QT-prolonging effect may increase mortality precipitating EAD-induced fatal arrhythmias.

*Amiodarone*

Amiodarone is a unique class III drug; it possesses properties belonging to all four of the Singh and Vaughan Williams classes of antiarrhythmic agents. Analogous to lidocaine's class I property, amiodarone interacts selectively with the inactivated state of the $Na^+$ channel (25) and therefore acts preferentially in ischemic tissues. Amiodarone's $Na^+$ channel block, which reduces Vmax and slows ventricular conduction in a use-dependent fashion, is largely responsible for its ability to slow ventricular tachycardias to a more hemodynamically-tolerated rate. Its high efficacy in suppressing premature ventricular complexes is believed to be largely due to its class I actions (25). Like sotalol, amiodarone also has antiadrenergic (class II) activity (26) which may contribute to its AV node suppressive actions. Amiodarone's Class III effects contribute to its ability to prevent atrial or ventricular reentrant arrhythmias (25). Amiodarone, like sotalol, prolongs the atrial effective refractory period (probably a class III property), and is more effective than sotalol in restoring and maintaining sinus rhythm in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation (13,27). Amiodarone's calcium channel
blocking (class IV) properties contribute to its ability to prevent AV node reentrant arrhythmias and to slow the ventricular response in atrial fibrillation. Furthermore, amiodarone's ability to block L-type calcium channels, which mediate EADs, may explain its apparent lack of precipitation of EAD-induced arrhythmias such as torsades de pointes, despite a prolonged QT interval (25). This fact, in addition to amiodarone's other actions, may have contributed to its success in three recent studies of secondary prevention in post-myocardial infarction patients - the Basel Antiarrhythmic Study of Infarct Survival (BASIS), the Polish Amiodarone Study, and a pilot study in Canada - where amiodarone succeeded in decreasing mortality (28). Amiodarone's favorable risk-to-benefit ratio is presently being examined in two large-scale clinical trials: the Canadian Amiodarone Myocardial Infarction Arrhythmia Trial (CAMIAT) and the European Myocardial Infarction Amiodarone Trial (EMIAT) (2). CAMIAT targets patients with frequent ventricular ectopic activity (>10 PVC/hr) following MI, whereas EMIAT targets patients with poor LV function (left ventricular ejection fraction < 41%) following MI (29).

**New Class III Agents**

Unlike sotalol and amiodarone, most of the new class III antiarrhythmic drugs mediate their effect via a single mode of action; they selectively prolong APD. Some of these new drugs do so by specifically blocking the delayed rectifier K⁺ current (IK). Sematilide and WAY-123,398, for example, are class III drug that selectively prolongs APD by blocking IK (30,31). As will be discussed, other new class III agents selectively block only the rapid activating component of the delayed rectifier current (IKr), while others act on other channel types.

**Dofetilide and E-4031**

Dofetilide (UK-68,798) and E-4031 are two new potent methanesulfonanilide class III agents which selectively inhibit the rapidly activating inward rectifying component of the net delayed rectifier K⁺ current (IKr) (32,33). These agents do so without effects on the larger but more slowly activating component (IKs) or on the inward rectifier K⁺ current (IK1) (34,35). Both dofetilide and E-4031 prolong APD in a "reverse" rate-dependent manner (i.e., effects are greater at lower than at higher rates of stimulation). However, it was shown that the sensitivity to the block of IKr by dofetilide and E-4031 is rate-independent. Interestingly, the explanation for the reverse rate-dependence of APD prolongation results from the increase in magnitude of IKs at rapid rates as a result of incomplete deactivation of IKs (34). Another experiment demonstrated that isoproterenol (a beta adrenergic receptor agonist) increased the conductance of IKs channels without affecting IKr channels, and therefore decreases the APD prolonging effects of E-4031 (36). Therefore, the newly developed methanesulfonanilide drugs (dofetilide and E-4031) would be expected to have decreased efficacy in the presence of high sympathetic tone, high heart rates, or both (30). In addition to prolonging refractoriness, an experiment on dogs showed that methanesulfonanilide class III drugs may augment cardiac contractility (37). Other experiments on ferret ventricular papillary muscle also demonstrated that dofetilide and E-4031 increased contractility whereas d-sotalol had no effect on contractility (38).

**Other New Agents**

Ibutilide is a new class III drug which has been shown to be effective in converting sustained atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm (39). It has also been shown to be effective in the prevention of atrial flutter in a canine model (40). Ibutilide's mode of action, however, differs from that of d-sotalol, sematilide, WAY-123,398, dofetilide, and E-4031. Rather than blocking an outward K⁺ current, ibutilide prolongs APD largely through the activation of a slow inward Na⁺ current (41). In fact, at much higher concentrations, ibutilide actually increases an outward K⁺ current (IK) (42). It was shown that a low concentration of ibutilide could prolong the APD beyond that already prolonged by one of the other class III drugs (presumably by increasing a late inward Na⁺ current), while a high concentration of ibutilide did the opposite (presumably by increasing an
outward K$^+$ current) (42). This dose-related effect on APD may be expected to help reduce the likelihood of torsades de pointes at high doses; however, most episodes of torsades are not dose related (43). Using a rabbit model, ibutilide was compared to d-sotalol, E-4031, and dofetilide, and was found to have a significantly lower incidence of EAD-induced torsades de pointes arrhythmias (ibutilide 12%, d-sotalol 70%, E-4031 56%, dofetilide 69%, saline 0%) (44).

RP 58866 is another new class III drug with a unique mode of action; it acts by selectively blocking the inward rectifier current (IK1) (33) without having any effect on the delayed rectifier current (IK) (30).

The new agents NE-10064 (azimilide) and NE-10133 are reported to be potent at inhibiting the slowly activating potassium current (IKs) in guinea pig cardiac myocytes (45). Recently, however, NE-10064 was shown to block IKr more selectively than IKs, and to also block the L-type calcium current in a use-dependent manner in guinea pig myocytes (46).

BRL-32872 is new class III agent reported to inhibit the rapidly activating component of the delayed rectifier potassium current and the L-type calcium current (believed to be responsible for causing EADs) in the guinea pig heart (47). BRL-32872 was also shown to lack a reverse frequency-dependent effect on APD, to rarely produce EADs, and to antagonize EADs produced by E-4031 (47). In another study using a minipig model, BRL-32872 demonstrated an antifibrillatory effect associated with prolonged ventricular repolarization and showed enhanced efficacy over dofetilide on reperfusion arrhythmias, presumably due to its calcium channel blocking property (48).

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, current class I antiarrhythmic agents are not suitable for prophylactic use because they promote reentrant tachycardias by slowing conduction velocity. Current class III drugs do not slow conduction velocity, but are nevertheless proarrhythmic. Their QT interval lengthening effect leads to EADs which cause arrhythmias such as torsades de pointes. Furthermore, some of the newest class III agents like dofetilide and E-4031 have the problem of reverse use dependence; APD is maximally increased at normal heart rates (increasing the risk of EAD-induced tachycardias), but during a tachycardia their desired effect declines. In fact, many of the newer selective IKr blockers have been shown to produce torsades de pointes arrhythmias in clinical trials (49). An ideal class III antiarrhythmic agent, rather than having reverse use dependent APD prolongation, should have little effect during normal sinus heart rates but steeply increase APD as the heart rate accelerates when tachycardia or fibrillation strikes. There seems to be two feasible ways to accomplish this. A first possibility is to develop a class III drug which increases APD by activating an inward Na$^+$ current as the cell depolarizes. In order to make such a drug rate-dependent (i.e., to increase APD proportionately to increases in heart rate), the drug would need to bind to its receptor during the upstroke of the action potential, slowly dissociate from the receptor during the plateau phase, and rapidly dissociate during the diastolic phase. Thus, as the heart rate increases, APD increases proportionately because of both a greater occupancy of the activator receptor (because of binding during the upstrokes which are increased as the heart rate increases) and a decreased dissociation from the activator receptor (because of slow dissociation during the plateaus which are increased, and fast dissociation during the diastolic intervals which are shortened as the heart rate increases) (50). The second possibility is to develop a rate-dependent class III antiarrhythmic drug that increases APD by blocking outward K$^+$ channels (50). The most promising target appears to be the slowly activating component of the delayed rectifier current (IKs). This current, as a result of incomplete deactivation of the channels, significantly increases in magnitude at rapid rates and accounts for the reverse rate dependence of selective IKr blockers (34). A selective blocker of IKs might therefore be a class III agent which is particularly effective at increased heart rates. Further research on these types of drugs may lead to the development of a rate-dependent class III antiarrhythmic agent which could effectively prevent arrhythmias and decrease mortality in treated patients.
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