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ABSTRACT: At present, both the neuropathophysiology of bipolar disorder as well as the mechanism(s) through which current mood-stabilizing agents provide symptom relief are unknown. Through the recent utilization of magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), progress has been made; with optimistic interest being focused on the phosphatidylinositol (PI) cycle as a likely neuropathophysiological factor in bipolar disorder. The present manuscript reviews this interesting and promising area, placing emphasis on the magnetic resonance spectroscopy investigations of PI-cycle function in bipolar disorder reported to date. While relatively few well-designed MRS studies have investigated PI-cycle function in bipolar disorder, current evidence does lend support to PI-cycle involvement in bipolar neuropathophysiology as a means through which mood-stabilizing agents act; pointing to PI-cycle dysfunction as an important neuropathophysiological factor in bipolar disorder. However, there still remains a dearth of information about this interesting hypothesis. In addition to lithium, more data is needed regarding the effects on PI-cycle function of the other commonly prescribed mood-stabilizing agents. As well, studies investigating the temporal relationship between medication effect on PI-cycle functioning and symptom improvement are warranted. In tandem with clinical MRS investigation of bipolar disorder, further preclinical study of the neurochemical effects of mood-stabilizing agents is needed. Finally, greater methodological rigor needs to be implemented during the design phase of any future MRS investigation into bipolar disorder, with particular attention aimed at recruiting a large homogenous sample of bipolar patients.
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BACKGROUND

"The subject of the following … will be the Science of Psychiatry. It is true that, in the strictest terms, we cannot speak of the mind as becoming diseased, whether we regard it as a separate entity or as the sum total of our subjective experience. And, indeed, from the medical point of view, it is disturbances in the physical foundations [emphasis his] of mental life which should occupy most of our attention."

Dr. Emil Kraepelin (1)

Although originally posited almost one hundred years ago, Kraepelin's (1) emphasis on the physical manifestations of psychiatric illness is still very much at the foundation of our understanding of mental illness today. During his time, investigational methodology for the study of the neuropathophysiological explanations surrounding psychiatric illness were only in their mere
infancy; something Kraepelin (2) himself was keenly aware of. Even today, psychiatric practice and research very much depend upon the physical and behaviour manifestations of psychiatric illness. Indeed, it is through patients’ physical sensations, perceptions and behavioural manifestations that we come to diagnosis the malady of the mind. Moreover, in the clinical milieu it is the changes - by way of improving or worsening - in these experiences that are often used as the indicator of treatment success (or failure). These deviations from what constitute the normal behavioural range are no more expressive than among individuals suffering from bipolar disorder (or manic-depressive illness).

The purpose of the present manuscript is to review and discuss the current state-of-the-science concerning nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) research in bipolar disorder, with particular emphasis placed on investigations that have focused on phosphatidylinositol (PI)-cycle activity in patients and healthy volunteers. The review will begin with a brief overview of the symptoms of bipolar disorder and their treatment, as well as a brief introduction to MRS methodology and a discussion of the relevance of PI-cycle activity to bipolar neuropathophysiology. Finally, the findings to-date in the area of PI-cycle MRS research in bipolar disorder will be reviewed and synthesized, limitations will be highlighted, and improvements and directions for future research will be outlined.

Bipolar Disorder

Originally described by Soranus (3), and defined by Aretaeus (4), the shift between depressive stupor, euthymic serenity and manic excitement generally characterize the existence of the bipolar patient. These states, in turn, consist of disturbances in mood, cognition, perception and behaviour (5). Medical consultation or first hospitalization for bipolar disorder generally occurs between the ages of 25 and 30 (6), usually as a result of manic symptoms (7). However, sub-acute symptom onset is believed to occur many years preceding this (8), with depressive symptoms most frequently reported at first episode. The course of bipolar disorder is usually a chronic, cycling one. Classically, the lifetime prevalence of bipolar disorder was estimated to be about 1%, equal among men and women (9); however, current evidence lends more to an estimate of about 5% (10). The discrepancy can, in part, be attributed to a shift in the conceptualization of the disorder, from a unipolar-bipolar dichotomy to that of a bipolar spectrum (11) (Table 1). Put in context, in its Global Burden of Disease study, the World Health Organization noted that major depression and bipolar disorder are, respectively, the first and sixth ranked causes of years lived with disability among people in developed nations (12,13). This helps to underscore the need for early and precise diagnosis as well as more effective treatments for individuals suffering from a bipolar spectrum disorder.

Pharmacological Treatment

With regard to treatment of bipolar disorder, the expansion in the array of available pharmacological treatments has provided effective treatment options for clinicians and symptomatic relief for patients. Akin to this development has been a change in the definition of what constitutes a mood-stabilizing agent. Early attempts at defining the ideal mood-stabilizing agent focused on the agent’s ability to treat at least one principle symptom (mania or depression) of the illness without worsening any other aspect, while still being safe and well tolerated (14). While not entirely abandoned, Kasper (16) makes the point that successful symptom treatment and prevention in bipolar disorder is not easily attainable with the use of only one medication; indicating a need for a "multi-pronged" approach. According to Keck and colleagues (17), this can be explained in terms of a change in the definition of the properties that characterize mood-stabilizing medication as a result of variation in both the properties
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Table 1. Proposed Bipolar Diagnostic Spectrum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar I/2</td>
<td>Schizobipolar disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar I</td>
<td>Manic-depressive illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar I/2</td>
<td>Depression with protracted hypomania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar II</td>
<td>Depression with spontaneous discrete hypomanic episodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar II/2</td>
<td>Depression superimposed on cyclothymia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar III</td>
<td>Depression plus hypomania occurring in association with antidepressant or other somatic treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar III/2</td>
<td>Prominent mood swings in association with substance and/or alcohol (ab)use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar IV</td>
<td>Depression superimposed on hyperthymia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(11, 14, reproduced with permission)
of specific medications and the clinical characteristics of the illness.

To date, the mainstay of treatment for patients with bipolar disorder is the monovalent cation, lithium (18). Lithium's utility and efficacy as a psychoactive agent - particularly in the treatment of the manic symptoms of bipolar disorder - was serendipitously re-discovered by John Cade, an Australian psychopharmacologist, in 1949 (19). Now, it is well established that lithium is effective in both the acute treatment of mania and the long-term prophylaxis of manic and depressive episodes (20). However, findings to date have reported that lithium is only effective in between 50% and 70% of bipolar patients (21,22). To improve on this, other agents with mood-stabilizing properties have been introduced. Among these, the anticonvulsants valproate, a propylpentanoate, and carbamazepine, a carboxamide, are currently the most commonly prescribed. Together, all three agents share a common profile in the treatment of bipolar disorder. Namely, all three agents are reportedly more effective in treating acute mania than acute depression (23), and all have reported utility in long-term prophylaxis (20).

Recently, the phenyltriazine anticonvulsant, lamotrigine, was found to have efficacy in the treatment of bipolar I disorder, particularly in the depressive phase of the illness (24,25). This is particularly important given that it is the depressive symptoms that are most responsible for morbidity and mortality in patients with bipolar disorder (26). However, there is no demonstrated efficacy for lamotrigine in the treatment of acute manic symptoms (27).

Conventionally known for their calming and sedating effects, the use of typical antipsychotics in the treatment of bipolar disorder have met with limited success. According to Tohen and Tollefson (28), the side-effect profile, and high risk of inducing depressive symptoms and of increasing cyclicity made the typical antipsychotics a less than ideal treatment choice for bipolar disorder. However, the introduction of the atypical antipsychotics sparked a renewed interest in the use of antipsychotics in patients with bipolar disorder, particularly in those patients who are non-responsive to traditional mood-stabilizing agents. Moreover, the improved profile of the atypical antipsychotics has provided a new avenue of treatment options for bipolar disorder. Among these agents, the thienobenzodiazipine, olanzapine, has offered the most promise; however, more research is needed to determine the range of symptom coverage for these new generation antipsychotics, both alone and in combination with other mood-stabilizing agents.

Thus, as noted by Keck and associates (17), the most important goals of pharmacological treatment and management of bipolar disorder are the "prevention of mood episodes, eradication of interepisode subsyndromal symptoms and inhibition of the inherent cyclicity and mood lability". Key to this success is a unified understanding of both the neuropathophysiology of the condition as well as the mechanism(s) through which currently known effective medications offer relief. With this in mind, all the agents outlined above have some degree of mood-stabilizing properties, all belong to different chemical classes and have distinctly different structures (Fig. 1).

This has made it difficult to establish a unifying hypothesis regarding the means through which different medications act, and, by extension, the neuropathophysiology of bipolar disorder. With the introduction, and insightful application of new investigational technologies the ability to study medication effects and the neuropathophysiology of psychiatric disorders, including bipolar disorder, has been greatly enhanced.

**Nuclear Magnetic Resonance**

Recent advances in brain-imaging methods, specifically in nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) have made it possible to study bipolar disorder *in vivo*. NMR can provide structural (anatomic and volumetric), functional (regional brain activation) and biochemical (metabolic) information. Of particular interest, MR spectroscopy (MRS) allows for the in vivo study of neurochemical and metabolic processes in bipolar patients, both pre- and post-treatment (29). This has provided direction for new avenues of research as well as new information about neurotransmitter and second messenger signaling pathways; helping bridge the gap
between the laboratory and the clinic (30). In bipolar disorder, particular interest has focused on the PI-cycle, specifically on the role of myo-inositol in the neuropathophysiology of the illness.

**INOSITOL-DEPLETION HYPOTHESIS**

At present, both the neuropathophysiology of bipolar disorder as well as the mechanism(s) through which current mood-stabilizing agents provide symptom relief are unknown. A promising avenue for research was uncovered when Allison and associates (31,32) discovered that, in rat brain, lithium administration attenuates the breakdown of the inositol monophosphates into myo-inositol, resulting in an increase in the concentration of the inositol monophosphates and a corresponding decrease in the concentration of myo-inositol (Fig. 2). Attenuating production of myo-inositol slows inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP₃)-dependent intracellular calcium release (33), which in turn down-regulates the release of several neurotransmitters from synaptic vesicles.

In neurons, the PI-cycle is activated through guanine nucleotide-binding (G Protein) protein coupled ligand binding of a number of receptor/ receptor subtypes, including: adrenergic (α₁A and α₁B), glutaminergic (mGlu and mGlu₂), serotonergic (5-HT₁C and 5-HT₂), dopaminergic (D₁) and cholinergic (M₁ and M₂) (34) among others. Given the intricacies and interactions of these various receptors, dysfunction in PI-cycle activity may disrupt signaling between important neural networks, making the PI-cycle an important investigational target and possibly an important therapeutic target as well.

Building on the findings of Allison and associates (31,32), Berridge and colleagues (35) hypothesized that the clinical utility of lithium in bipolar disorder may be due to myo-inositol depletion. Moreover, they noted that lithium’s inhibition of the enzyme involved in the breakdown of inositol monophosphates (IP₃) to myo-inositol, the enzyme being inositol monophosphatase (IMPase), is uncompetitive (binding to the enzyme-substrate complex), and that this inhibition occurs at therapeutically relevant plasma concentrations (0.5-1.0 mEq/L) (35). Thus, lithium would be expected to only attenuate phosphatidylinositol turnover in over-stimulated cells, through binding with the IMPase-IP₃ complex (36); in effect reducing the usual neuronal responses (i.e. calcium release and related downstream effects) to receptor activation. Interestingly, lithium’s actions on the PI-cycle appear to be specific to brain rather than peripheral PI-linked signaling systems, possibly due to the brains limited access to free inositol as a result of the blood-brain barrier (36) and/or because only particular neuronal populations are vulnerable to lithium (37).

Any decrease in myo-inositol concentrations should also decrease the turnover of PIP₂ into IP₃. Such a down-regulation of agonist-stimulated IP₃ production (38,39), as well as all inositol-derived membrane phospholipids (PI, PIP, PIP₂) (40), has been reported in rat brain following lithium treatment. Moreover, Williams and colleagues (41) recently reported that lithium, sodium valproate and carbamazepine all inhibit the collapse of sensory neuron growth cones and increase growth cone area, but that inositol administration reverses these effects, thus implicating inositol-depletion in the action of three commonly used mood-stabilizing agents. From these findings, it is purported that medications effective in mood-stabilization may act via inositol-depletion. The so-called inositol-depletion hypothesis remains perhaps the most widely accepted hypothesis for lithium’s mechanism of action in bipolar disorder (42). By inference, this may point to hyperactivity of the PI-cycle - namely increased myo-inositol and inositol derivatives - in brain as a physiological factor involved in the symptom manifestation (mania and depression) of bipolar disorder.

**MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROSCOPY**

The physical-chemical properties of human tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclei</th>
<th>Metabolite (abbreviation)</th>
<th>Physiological Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>¹H</td>
<td>N-acetylaspartate (NAA)</td>
<td>Marker of neuronal viability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glutamate (Glu) &amp; Glutamine (Gln)</td>
<td>Glutamine - astrocyte marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or generally (Glx)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glutamate - neurotoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creatine+Phosphocreatine (Cr)</td>
<td>Cellular metabolic marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Choline (Cho)</td>
<td>Marker of phospholipid metabolism and membrane turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myo-inositol (mI)</td>
<td>Gial marker and cell volume regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³¹P</td>
<td>Phosphomonoesters (PME)</td>
<td>Phospholipid anabolic marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phosphodiesters (PDE)</td>
<td>Phospholipid catalytic marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phosphocreatine (PCr)</td>
<td>Cellular metabolic marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adenosine Triphosphates (α-, β-, γ-ATP)</td>
<td>Cellular metabolic marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganic Phosphate (Pi)</td>
<td>Cellular metabolic marker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
facilitate the use of NMR techniques for the safe \textit{in vivo} investigation of human physiology. More specifically, these properties include the presence of compounds or molecules that contain magnetically active nuclei (43); with hydrogen-1 ($^1$H) and phosphorous-31 ($^{31}$P) being two of the most common nuclei species investigated. While magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides anatomical data, utilizing the same basic principles MRS goes beyond this, providing biochemical and metabolic data from brain regions of interest (or volumes of interest) in the study of psychiatric disorders (44). With currently available magnetic field strengths (1.5 Tesla (T) to 4.7 T) several biogenic compounds and amino acid neurotransmitters are MRS detectable (Table 2). When placed in this strong magnetic field and penetrated by a radio frequency (rf) pulse, relative quantification of these metabolites can be determined (in relation to a reference compound) and depicted as chemical shift spectra (in parts per million, ppm), with the area under the curve representing the relative concentrations of the metabolites of interest (Fig. 3).

As a general rule, as magnetic field strength increases, so too does the resolution between metabolite resonant frequencies and the single-to-noise ratio (46). In this regard, it is important to note that with commonly utilized field strengths (1.5 T to 3 T), the phosphomonoester (PME) peak observed in the $^{31}$P MRS spectra (Fig. 3B) contains contributions from several sugar phosphates, including phosphoethanolamine, phosphocholine and the inositol monophosphates. However, changes in the PME peak observed in bipolar disorder, both pre- and post-treatment, are postulated to be the result of changes in the concentration of the inositol monophosphates (47). This assumption has been substantiated from preclinical findings with high-field MRS (9 T to 18.8 T). At these higher field strengths, the inositol monophosphate peak does not contain contributions from other neurometabolites, and changes in inositol monophosphate concentrations have been reported following treatment with lithium and sodium valproate in rat brain (48). As clinical magnets with higher
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**Figure 2.** The Phosphatidylinositol (PI) second messenger system works as follows. An agonist (A) binds to a receptor complex, made up of a receptor (R), G$_q$-protein, and phospholipase C (PLC). Agonist binding leads to the PLC-catalyzed breakdown of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP$_2$) into inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (Ins[1,4,5]P$_3$) and 1,2-diacylglycerol (DAG). Ins[1,4,5]P$_3$ subsequently binds to specific receptors on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) causing the release of stored intracellular calcium (Ca$^{2+}$). IP$_3$ is sequentially broken down into inositol bisphosphates (IP$_2$), inositol monophosphates (IP$_1$) and finally, into \textit{myo}-inositol. \textit{Myo}-inositol can also be synthesized \textit{de novo} from glucose-6-phosphate (G-6-P), through an IP$_1$ intermediate. The metabolism from IP$_1$ to \textit{myo}-inositol is catalyzed by the enzyme inositol monophosphatase (IMPase), which is uncompetitively inhibited by lithium (Li$^+\,$). Reduced levels of \textit{myo}-inositol prevent the efficient resynthesis of PI from \textit{myo}-inositol and cytidine monophosphorylphosphatidate (CMP-PA). The large dark circles indicate the location of each phosphate molecule within each respective metabolic intermediate.
magnetic field strengths (>3 T) become more widely used, it will be possible to separate out the PME constitutes, including the inositol monophosphates, into individual peaks.

CURRENT FINDINGS

Principal to the investigation of PI-cycle hyperactive as a causative factor in the neuropathophysiology of bipolar disorder is validation of the inositol-depletion hypothesis as the underlying means through which mood-stabilizing agents act. Towards this end, research has begun to focus more on in vivo MRS investigations of bipolar illness and treatment. However, given the remarkable potential of this approach, relatively few studies have been aimed specifically at investigating inositol depletion; most of which have been done by only a handful of investigators focusing primarily on the effects of lithium. To follow is a synthesis of the findings reported to date, parcelled according to bipolar symptomatology (i.e. depressed, manic and euthymic). In the final paragraph, findings from patient studies are contrasted and evaluated with those from studies of healthy volunteers.

Bipolar Depressed

In a study of unmedicated depressed bipolar and unipolar patients, Frey and associates (49) reported reduced myo-inositol concentrations in the frontal lobes of patients compared to healthy controls, although this finding was significant only when the groups were paired by age.

In a well-conducted study by Moore and colleagues (50) in which absolute metabolite concentrations were measured, a reduction of myo-inositol concentrations were reported for the anterior cingulated cortex between depressed bipolar patients and healthy controls (51). Kato and associates (52) also reported higher PME concentrations in the frontal lobe of lithium-treated depressed bipolar patients when compared to those in the euthymic state. In two separate studies, Kato and associates (53,54) found higher PME concentrations in the frontal lobe of lithium-treated depressed bipolar patients, compared to both euthymic patients and healthy controls. It is unclear if these PME findings are specific to depressed bipolar patients, as similar findings have been reported in some studies of unipolar depressed patients (55), but not all (52,56). Furthermore, it is also unclear whether myo-inositol concentrations are normal in unipolar depressed patients (57) or if they are decreased (58,49).

Bipolar Manic/Hypomanic

In a study examining patients before and after lithium treatment, there was a trend at baseline towards higher myo-inositol concentrations in the anterior cingulated cortex of manic bipolar children compared to healthy controls (59). Following 7 days of lithium treatment, myo-inositol concentrations in the anterior cingulated cortex were significantly reduced in the manic children compared to the healthy controls. In a follow-up study the same group reported increased myo-inositol concentrations in the anterior cingulated cortex of manic bipolar children compared to healthy controls, thus confirming the trend observed in their early study (60). They found no difference between the two groups for myo-inositol concentrations in the occipital cortex.

Reduced myo-inositol was observed in the basal ganglia of 4 lithium-treated manic bipolar patients, while no difference was observed for occipital cortex when compared to healthy controls (61). In three studies one group found higher PME concentrations in the frontal lobe of lithium-treated manic and hypomanic bipolar patients compared to euthymic bipolar patients.

Figure 3. A. 1H, and B. 31P MRS Spectra of the Temporal Lobe at 3 Tesla (45, reproduced with permission)
and healthy controls (62,63,53). However, this finding was not supported in a subsequent study carried out by the same group (54). A study of manic and mixed bipolar patients, most of who were receiving sodium valproate in addition to other medications (except 3 patients who were receiving sodium valproate monotherapy), found no differences in frontal lobe myo-inositol concentrations when compared to healthy controls (64).

**Bipolar Euthymic**

Using ¹H-MRS, no differences in frontal lobe myo-inositol concentrations were observed between unmedicated euthymic bipolar patients (n=20) and healthy controls (65). Two ³¹P-MRS studies reported significantly lower PME concentrations in the frontal (66) and temporal (67) lobes of unmedicated, euthymic bipolar patients compared to healthy controls. In contrast, however, another study found no difference in PME concentrations in the frontal lobe of unmedicated euthymic bipolar patients when compared with healthy controls (68). It should be noted that in the latter study the size of the patient group was relatively small (n = 7), and patients had discontinued lithium during a wide time period before the ³¹P-MRS examination (from 11 - 365 days).

Among medicated euthymic bipolar patients, a small ¹H-MRS study reported no changes in temporal and parietal lobe myo-inositol concentrations following lithium treatment in bipolar patients (n=8) (69). Several studies utilizing ³¹P MRS suggest that euthymic bipolar patients treated with lithium have PME concentrations that are either reduced (63,70) or not significantly different (53,54,71,72) from healthy controls. In the studies by Murashita and colleagues (71) and Hamakawa and associates (72) not all patients were receiving lithium. Consistent with these findings, Silverstone and colleagues (45) reported no difference in frontal lobe PME concentrations between lithium-treated euthymic bipolar patients and healthy controls. Moreover, in the same study they also reported that euthymic bipolar patients treated chronically with sodium valproate had similar myo-inositol and PME levels when compared to healthy controls as well as lithium-treated euthymic bipolar patients (45). In the one study done in children, no differences in frontal lobe myo-inositol concentrations were found between the 15 euthymic bipolar children, many of whom had been on sodium valproate, and the healthy controls (73).

**Healthy Volunteers**

In two studies in healthy volunteers administered lithium for 1 week, Silverstone and colleagues (74,75) did not observe any significant effect of lithium on frontal lobe myo-inositol concentrations. In addition, they found no change in frontal lobe PME concentrations pre- and post-lithium, although an increase has been reported by another group (76).

In the same study, Silverstone and colleagues (75) found that in lithium-treated healthy volunteers given dextro-amphetamine (a putative human model for mania (77)), frontal lobe PME concentrations increased. This finding may be consistent with the findings (discussed previously) of increased frontal lobe PME concentrations in manic (62,63) and hypomanic (53) bipolar patients.

**LIMITATIONS**

The newness of the technology combined with the debilitating course of the illness, urgency of treatment and the stringent requirements of any well-designed investigation have lead to several common, but significant limitations in the MRS studies done to date. These limitations can be segregated into two broad types: those relating to the technical aspects of MRS, and those relating to the clinical aspects of psychiatric research.

**Technical**

From a purely technical standpoint, two areas warrant scrutiny. First, the vast majority of the studies have relied on the measurement of metabolite change as a ratio between the metabolite of interest (numerator) and some internal standard (denominator). From the ¹H findings above it is evident that most have used the creatine+phosphocreatine peak as the internal standard. In phosphorous MRS, phosphocreatine is also one of the main internal standards of choice for many of the published investigations. Irrespective of the metabolite used, the same criticism applies. This approach to quantification relies on the assumption that the standard comparator peak is unchanging, an assumption whose validity has recently been called into question. As a case in point, Hamakawa and associates (78) found that creatine concentrations are significantly reduced in the frontal lobe of bipolar depressed, when compared to euthymic patients. Similarly, Kato and colleagues (54) have reported significantly lower concentrations of phosphocreatine in the frontal lobe of lithium-treated bipolar II patients; negatively correlating this decrease with scores on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression. These changes in phosphocreatine levels were not found in bipolar I patients (60), possibly indicating a difference in energy metabolism between bipolar I and II. Finally, Deicken and colleagues (79) have reported higher creatine concentrations in the anterior and mediodorsal thalamic regions in euthymic bipolar patients. Moreover, other factors related to
illness, treatment, age and gender might affect the reliability of this approach. As a result, the use of brain water concentration, or an external standard of known concentration as the reference peak is warranted in future studies utilizing MRS as an investigational tool.

The second technical limitation has been the use of low field strength magnets, with most studies utilizing 1.5T and 2T magnets. Of concern is the low chemical shift dispersive power, often resulting in peak overlap between individual metabolites of interest, as in the case of myo-inositol and glycine, and the Glx peak, which contains contributions from glutamate, glutamine and GABA. Newly available magnets with higher field strengths, including 3T, 4.7T and even 8T, as well as the optimization of pulse sequences specifically targeting individual metabolites of interest will provide better spatiotemporal resolution and higher sensitivity; which is key to any future advances in spectroscopic investigation of bipolar disorder.

Clinical
From a clinical vantage point, many of the methodological issues raised here are common in all facets of psychiatric research. These include, the use of a small sample size, poorly defined, heterogeneous patient population, variability in illness duration and episode state, use of multiple medications and variable dosing, poor medication compliance, lack of age- and sex-matched healthy controls, and a lack of consideration for possible confounding variables including phase of menstrual cycle and history of substance abuse. While not exhaustive, consideration of the above would, according to this author, substantially strengthen any future studies into the neurochemistry of bipolar disorder.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS
While over 20 years have passed since Berridge and colleagues (35) supposition that bipolar disorder may be the result of PI-cycle hyperactivity, it was not until relatively recently that developments in technology enabled in vivo investigation of this novel hypothesis. Current evidence appears to lend support to PI-cycle involvement in bipolar disorder; however, it is still unclear whether this involvement is through inositol-depletion and whether it is primary or secondary. More data is indeed needed to clarify these issues. More specifically, future investigators would do well to consider the limitations outlined above during their planning stages. At the very least, particular attention needs to be focused on attaining a homogeneous population of bipolar patients, in sufficient numbers to allow for meaningful interpretation. Also, studies investigating the temporal relationship between medication effect on PI-cycle functioning and symptom improvement are warranted. Moreover, while most of the treatment studies discussed above evaluated lithium, data is urgently needed for the other commonly prescribed mood-stabilizing agents, among them; valproate, carbamazepine, lamotrigine and olanzapine. At this stage, it is uncertain whether these agents have similar effects on PI-cycle activity, although current evidence for valproate appears to suggest it does. In tandem with clinical MRS investigation of bipolar disorder, preclinical study of the effects of the mood-stabilizing agents outlined above is in much demand. The power of high field preclinical magnets - on the order of 11.7T and up to 18.8T - and the capability of controlled pharmacological manipulation will fill the dearth of information and could provide independent verification of clinical findings.
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